The Petition of Right (1628)

The Petition exhibited to his Majesty by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, concerning divers Rights and Liberties of the Subjects, with the King’s Majesty’s royal answer thereunto in full Parliament.

To the King’s Most Excellent Majesty,

Humbly show unto our Sovereign Lord the King, the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons in Parliament assembled, that whereas it is declared and enacted by a statute made in the time of the reign of King Edward I... that no tallage or aid shall be laid or levied by the king or his heirs in this realm, without the good will and assent of the archbishops, bishops, earls, barons, knights, burgesses, and other the freemen of the commonality of this realm; and by authority of parliament holden in the five-and-twentieth year of the reign of King Edward III, it is declared and enacted, that from thenceforth no person should be compelled to make any loans to the king against his will, because such loans were against reason and the franchise of the land... your subjects have inherited this freedom, that they should not be compelled to contribute to any tax, tallage, aid, or other like charge not set by common consent, in parliament.

II. Yet nevertheless of late divers commissions directed to sundry commissioners in several counties, with instructions, have issued; by means whereof your people have been in divers places assembled, and required to lend certain sums of money unto your Majesty, and many of them, upon their refusal so to do, have had an oath administered unto them not warrantable by the laws or statutes of this realm, and have been constrained to become bound and make appearance and give utterance before your Privy Council and in other places, and others of them have been therefore imprisoned, confined, and sundry other ways molested and disquieted... against the laws and free custom of the realm.

III. And whereas also by the statute called 'The Great Charter of the Liberties of England,' it is declared and enacted, that no freeman may be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold or liberties, or his free customs, or be outlawed or exiled, or in any manner destroyed, but by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.

IV. And in the eight-and-twentieth year of the reign of King Edward III, it was declared and enacted by authority of parliament, that no man, of what estate or condition that he be, should be put out of his land or tenements, nor taken, nor imprisoned, nor disinherited nor put to death without being brought to answer by due process of law.

V. Nevertheless, against the tenor of the said statutes, and other the good laws and statutes of your realm to that end provided, divers of your subjects have of late been imprisoned without any cause showed; and when for their deliverance they were brought before your justices by your Majesty's writs of habeas corpus, there to undergo and receive as the court should order, and their keepers commanded to certify the causes of their detainer, no cause was certified, but that they were detained by your Majesty's special command, signified by the lords of your Privy Council, and yet were returned back to several prisons, without being charged with anything to which they might make answer according to the law.

VI. And whereas of late great companies of soldiers and mariners have been dispersed into divers counties of the realm, and the inhabitants against their wills have been compelled to receive them into

---

1 This is how the Parliament refers to itself formally
2 A land tax
3 Magna Carta
their houses, and there to suffer them to sojourn against the laws and customs of this realm, and to the
great grievance and vexation of the people....

VIII. By pretext whereof some of your Majesty's subjects have been by some of the said commissioners
put to death, when and where, if by the laws and statutes of the land they had deserved death, by the
same laws and statutes also they might, and by no other ought to have been judged and executed.

IX. And also sundry grievous offenders, by color thereof claiming an exemption, have escaped the
punishments due to them by the laws and statutes of this your realm, by reason that divers of your
officers and ministers of justice have unjustly refused or forborne to proceed against such offenders
according to the same laws and statutes...

X. They do therefore humbly pray your most excellent Majesty, that no man hereafter be compelled to
make or yield any gift, loan, benevolence, tax, or such like charge, without common consent by act of
parliament; and that none be called to make answer, or take such oath, or to give attendance, or be
confined, or otherwise molested or disquieted concerning the same or for refusal thereof; and that no
freeman, in any such manner as is before mentioned, be imprisoned or detained...

XI. All which they most humbly pray of your most excellent Majesty as their rights and liberties,
according to the laws and statutes of this realm; and that your Majesty would also vouchsafe to declare,
that the awards, doings, and proceedings, to the prejudice of your people in any of the premises, shall
not be drawn hereafter into consequence or example; and that your Majesty would be also graciously
pleased, for the further comfort and safety of your people, to declare your royal will and pleasure, that
in the things aforesaid all your officers and ministers shall serve you according to the laws and statutes
of this realm, as they tender the honor of your Majesty, and the prosperity of this kingdom.

QUESTION TO CONSIDER:

1. In what ways did Charles I and his officials violate the traditional liberties of the
   English Parliament and people?

2. What is the most fundamental difference between how the law operated in an
   absolutist state like France versus a constitutional state like England?