APUSH LEQ RUBRIC

Updated September 2023

Name:	 	
LEQ Topic:		

CONTEXTUALIZATION

Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.

The response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question.

This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or a reference.

THESIS / CLAIM

Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis / claim that establishes a line of reasoning.

The thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt, rather than merely restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.



EVIDENCE & SUPPORT FOR ARGUMENT (Must Earn 1 to Earn 2)

1. Provides $at least \underline{two}$ SPECIFIC EXAMPLES of evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt.

To earn one point, the response must identify **at least <u>two</u>** specific historical examples of evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt.

2. SUPPORTS AN ARGUMENT in response to the prompt using at least two specific and relevant examples of evidence.

To earn two points the response must use specific **at least <u>two</u>** pieces of historical evidence to support an argument in response to the prompt.

ANALYSIS AND REASONING (Must Earn 1 to Earn 2)

1. Uses HISTORICAL REASONING (e.g. comparison, causation, CCOT) to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.

To earn the first point, the response must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument, although the reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.

2. **Demonstrates a COMPLEX UNDERSTANDING** of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation <u>and/or</u> effective use of evidence.

SOPHISTICATED ARGUMENTATION

Exploring nuance by analyzing multiple themes or perspectives

Explaining both similarity and difference, both continuity and change, both causes and effects, multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes

 Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas

EFFECTIVE USE OF EVIDENCE

Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt

Explaining how at least four pieces of specific and relevant evidence support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt

TOTAL POINTS:

/6

Complex understanding can be demonstrated in any portion of the essay, but not merely by a single phrase or reference.